

## **ENVIRONMENT**

### **Opportunities**

- Shifting focus of government funding for industrial research
- Declining research group in companies and government
- Large-scale funding initiatives in Canada and internationally (Genome Canada, IC-IMPACTS, Gates)
- Dean's Strategic Fund
- Non-traditional funding sources: industrial contributions, philanthropy
- Sustainability of planet (climate change, pollution, social unrest, water distribution and scarcity)
- Students adapt to changing workplace
- Large urban metropolitan environment
- Scale of U of T
- Centre for Innovation and Engineering Entrepr.
- Leveraging international reputation for
- Leveraging int'l reputation for student recr.
- Online learning tools and resources
- E-textbooks/Cloud/open access for R&D data
- Changing accreditation approach

### **Threats**

- Government Funding model (bums in seats)
- Government deficit
- Declining/flat government funding (resrch + edu)
- Increasing availability /competition from 'free' online education
- U of T Funding model (passed down)
- Differentiation with emerging nation programs (Asia, Southeast Asia)
- Shifting focus of government funding for industrial research
- Stricter immigration policies
- Declining international student funding
- Project-based focus for industrial collaborations
- Lack of established industrial areas for collaborative funding in Canada
- Cyclical and short term nature of funding in different areas
- Current IP Policy companies want the IP
- Sustainability of planet (climate change, pollution, social unrest, water distribution and
- Large urban metropolitan environment (cost of living, traffic, commute, recruitment & retention)
- Funding from Science without Borders disappearing at the undergraduate level

# Five-Year Academic Strategic Plan 2016-2021 - DRAFT

## VISION/VALUES/BELIEFS

"Through leading edge research and education, we integrate chemistry, biology and engineering to drive solutions to global challenges in energy, the environment and health"

### **Values**

- Ethics, values and integrity of students
- Education, student future well-being in vocation
- Collegiality, collaboration & community
- Benefit to society, sustainability, meaningful problems, global
- Adaptive to rapidly changing world / global issues
- Creativity, imagination, open-mindedness
- Technical excellence & international reputation

### Beliefs

- World is on unsustainable track
- We will be among the top chem eng depts, and will be recognized as such
- Type of offering will attract corresponding type of students
- Rate of change in world is accelerating
- Strong link between "big" global issues and sustainability in chemical engineering discipline

## **STRATEGY**

### **Product Market Focus**

- BASc 100 Domestic 50 Foreign
- Eng & Business Minor / Cert
- 7 Minor options
- PEY support for 70 Students
- MEng 120 up dramatically; 1.1 TTC • MASc 70-80 in 7 years – declining;
- **PhD** 70-80 in 7 years growing demand; TTC is 4.9-5.9 yrs. (High)
- Research Per Prof network
- 170 Publications 5.7 / FTE per yr.
- 46 invention disclosures & 7 licenses over 5 yrs.
- Tech transfer / start-ups (0.5 / yr.)
- Future trend 40:60 MASc: PhD

### **Differentiation / Uniqueness**

- and research strength
- Research intense environment
- Strong in fundamentals
- Interdisciplinary cross connection with the rest of U of T
- Specializations / relevance
- Vision & societal impact
- Low tuition cost domestically
- International tuition also attractive

### **Transformational Targets** Bv 2020 we will:

- Interconnection of undergrad learning 1. Add 3 new multi-researcher / multi-disciplined programs to drive solutions to global challenges.
  - 2. Reduce in-classroom instructional time significantly (~30%), complementing with problem-based and experiential learning in ways that improve learning outcomes
  - 3. Elevate teaching excellence such that the total learning environment is the distinguishing trait of the program in the eyes of students.
  - 4. Increase research funding by 70% to \$30M through deeper integration and synergy with our total external network.
  - 5. Reorganize and streamline administration and support systems efficiency to drive overall space and organizational effectiveness.

## **ORGANIZATION**

### Structure

- 1 Chair
- 3 Associate Chair UG/Grad/Research
- 17 Admin Staff optimize with transformation
- Leadership Team
- 13 Committees & 7 Task Forces
- Staff have very defined roles
- 8 Research Clusters for collaboration
- 5 Institutes: (3 with Exec Dir Business
- BioZone, OCCAM, SOCAAR, IWI, P&PC

- Faculty champions drive key initiatives
- Flexible, adaptable community
- Collegial with low team integration
- Low authority / high autonomy for prof
- Self-organizing system with tenure security

- Effective undergraduate teaching systems
- Grad needs to be upgraded
- E-learning technology very limited

### Size (Capacity)

- First year intake ~90 domestic, 40 int'l
- Second year intake ~150
- Graduate students 240, ~8 students/FTE
- Focus on TTC improvements in grad

### Staffing

- 34 Professors
- 19 Adjunct Professors
- Not anticipating sign change in Faculty size (+10%?)

### **External Relations With Industry**

- Mediated through institutes & centres
- \$1.8M industrial funding
- 46 invention disclosures; 7 licenses
- ~70 Company relationships
- 20 Companies Formed since 1970=1/2yr

### **Transformational Resources (New)**

- Support for faculty champions
- Educational Design Advisor (EDA)
- Faculty of Education Masters/PhD Students
- Board of Advisors focus on business development
- Develop Exec. Dir. role to drive business growth with industry
- Teaching technology & big data resources

- Large urban campus in GTA

## **RESOURCES**

### Human

- 34 Professors (5 new in last five
- 18 Full Professors
- 5 Associate Professors
- 5 Assistant Professors
- 3 Research Professors Limited Term
- 3 Teaching Stream Professors
- 19 Adjunct Professors • 15 Professors Emeriti

• 17 Admin Staff

### Financial

- Annual operating budget \$12M Dean's Fund Project Funding
- \$4.5M over past 5 years • ~\$1M Undergrad Financial Assistance
- \$5M Graduate Funding
- \$15-20M in Research Funding \$680k/FTE
- \$30-40k Donations; \$6M Chair endowments; \$2.3M Grad end.; \$2.2M Undergrad end.

### Concerns

- Limited resources for transformation to "work on the
- Efficiency of teaching resource considering attendance
- Faculty workload fragmented, too many different tasks
- Funding (MTCU) based on headcount with base costs covered by undergrads
- Foreign vs. domestic grad student funding basis
- Unstable & unpredictable research funding
- Wallberg Building and lack of space 9,875 m<sup>2</sup> of space in 237 rooms (Inflexible space, not adaptable)
- Resistance to space reallocation
- Poor big data infrastructure

# Five-Year Academic Strategic Plan – Key Initiatives, 2016-2021 (DRAFT)



Initiative	Rationale	Multi Year Targets	Tactics
Initiate large multi-researcher / multi- disciplinary (MR/MD) collaborative programs around our vision	<ol> <li>Previous initiatives like BioZone have multiple positive effects</li> <li>Aligned with our new vision, we are targeting major societal impact on relevant problems</li> <li>These programs drive new fertile external relationships</li> <li>There are strong benefits to student learning and faculty</li> </ol>	By 2020 - 3 new MR/MD programs are running  A. 2016 Identify potential target issues  B. 2017 Establish funding and approvals  C. 2018 First Multi Researcher / Multi Disc program is formally launched  D. 2019 Program 2  E. 2020 Program 3	<ul> <li>i. Broad solicitation of problem identification candidates</li> <li>ii. Kickstarter initiatives + Dean's Strategic Fund seed projects</li> <li>iii. Start more seeds with risk that some will fail</li> <li>iv. Engage industrial partners</li> <li>v. External advisory board</li> <li>vi. Hire 3 new faculty aligned with the plan</li> <li>vii. Identify key funding sources attached to big themes</li> </ul>
Create a modern chemical engineering curriculum aligned with our vision	The curriculum must have key characteristics:         Student-centred mindset         Career success outcome driven         Experiential learning         Global perspective         Research immersion         Embracing change / future focus  Learning technology and methodology is out of touch and not oriented toward problem based and experiential learning.	By 2020 reduce in-classroom instructional time significantly (~30%), complementing with problem-based and experiential learning in ways that improve learning outcomes  A. 2016 Foundation with grad students  B. 2016 Lab Task Force integrating Labs/curriculum  C. 2016 E-modules for Engineering Economics  D. 2017 Enhanced professional skills program & international program  E. 2018 Graduate hatchery  F. 2019 – 30% reduction in classroom time  G. 2020 – 50% achieved	<ul> <li>i. Benchmark (e.g., MIT &amp; Stanford &amp; others)</li> <li>ii. Add Educational Design Advisor + Fac of Ed help</li> <li>iii. Define roadmap to 50% in detail</li> <li>iv. Unit operations renewal – Integrated Chemical Engineering</li> <li>v. Seed pilot designs in selected safe places in the existing curriculum</li> <li>vi. New mandatory course for grads in Graduate Research Methods</li> <li>vii. Develop MOOC pilot with Dean's Strategic Fund</li> <li>viii. Hire the faculty who can deliver new program</li> </ul>
Elevate teaching excellence, effectiveness and impact	<ol> <li>Teaching and research cultural strength are our two legs</li> <li>Students not currently inspired to attend and participate</li> <li>A cultural shift is required to elevate teaching excellence</li> <li>We are not currently resourcing this as a focus of improvement – we are good but not great</li> </ol>	By 2020 the student-centred, diverse-style learning environment is a distinguishing trait of the whole program  A. 2016 Teaching triads kick-off  B. 2017 Budget includes new resources  C. 2018 Measurable impact expected in student response  D. 2020 No doubt in transformation on feedback	<ul> <li>i. Teaching stream appointment (s)</li> <li>ii. Teaching effectiveness committee</li> <li>iii. Initiate teaching triads for mutual support</li> <li>iv. Coordinate with new curriculum above</li> <li>v. One faculty with strong teaching improvement focus</li> </ul>
Catalyze the synergy of our external networks with our internal capability for societal and economic impact	<ol> <li>Value, impact and relevance of the program is enhanced by more intensive industry and alumni linkages</li> <li>Resource, mining, chemical industry under intense pressure and decline</li> <li>By identifying pressing needs and issues and serving them we realize our vision</li> <li>Global view needed, not just Canada (<i>e.g.</i>, Toilet 2.0)</li> <li>Stanford &amp; MIT are very different in this area and could serve as role models</li> </ol>	By 2020 increase R&D funding to \$30M  A. 2016 New interface engagement plan developed  B. 2016 Launch Graduway to engage alumni  C. 2017 Research theme alignment plan  D. 2020 – 1,000 engaged alumni & 100 engaged industrial partners	<ul> <li>i. Benchmark to ID best practice</li> <li>ii. Strong design support from Board of Advisors</li> <li>iii. Priority focus areas determined with initiative 1</li> <li>iv. Catalogue and critique current situation – external links</li> <li>v. Institute Exec Dir help design effective approaches</li> <li>vi. Identify climate change related big themes &amp; funding</li> <li>vii. Enhance tracking and engagement with alumni and customers</li> </ul>
Reorganize and streamline administration and support systems efficiency to drive overall space and organizational effectiveness	<ol> <li>We don't have transformational resource to improve</li> <li>We don't allocate the time to transform b/c we are "busy"</li> <li>We need catalyzing change agents to activate initiatives</li> <li>Our administrative staff design needs to be optimized</li> <li>With a new approach we could access more funding</li> <li>Space allocation needs to be flexible to match needs</li> <li>Physical space approach is transformed by learning model</li> </ol>	By 2020 we have realized the above bold plans because we purposefully allocated the resource to do it!  A. 2016 Organizational design and funding freed up  B. 2017 4-year facility plan reflecting above  C. 2017 Resources in place and actively working  D. 2017 New space concept is in place  E. 2018 TTC model saves resources	<ul> <li>i. Streamline administrative work and allocate to new transformation resources</li> <li>ii. Shared services model across the Dept/Faculty</li> <li>iii. Space management committee/policy</li> <li>iv. Re-conceptualize learning space design per above</li> <li>v. Lose the walls and see industry partners and the whole university as learning space</li> </ul>